

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/578,465	Applicant(s) LIU ET AL.	
	Examiner Fiona T. Powers	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

 (2) Christopher Knors.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 27 December 2007
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 7 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Knors to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following were done: 1)claim 1, last line delete "in the presence of" and insert -with- and 2)claims 7 and 8, line 1 of each, insert a comma after "formula I". Mr. Knors agreed to the amendments discussed above and asked that in claim 1, "reacting" should be changed to -isomerizing- to be consistent with the preamble. It was agreed that all of the amendments discussed above would be done by examiner's amendment..